Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Journal of Hazardous Materials

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jhazmat

# Biosorption of Pb(II) ions by modified quebracho tannin resin

### Meral Yurtsever\*, İ. Ayhan Şengil

Department of Environmental Engineering, Engineering Faculty, Sakarya University, 54187 Sakarya, Turkey

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 January 2008 Received in revised form 10 June 2008 Accepted 16 June 2008 Available online 27 June 2008

*Keywords:* Biosorption Isotherms Kinetics Lead Quebracho tannin resin

#### ABSTRACT

In this study, the effect of temperature, pH and initial metal concentration on Pb(II) biosorption on modified quebracho tannin resin (QTR) was investigated. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) were used to investigate QTR structure and morphology. Besides, the specific BET surface area and zeta-potential of the QTR were analysed. Thermodynamic functions, the change of free energy ( $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ), enthalpy ( $\Delta H^{\circ}$ ) and entropy ( $\Delta S^{\circ}$ ) of Pb adsorption on modified tannin resin were calculated as -5.43 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (at 296 ± 2 K), 31.84 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> and 0.127 J mmol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, indicating the spontaneous, endothermic and the increased randomness nature of Pb<sup>2+</sup> adsorption. The kinetic data was tested using pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order, Elovich and intraparticle diffusion model. The results suggested that the pseudo-second-order model ( $R^2 > 0.999$ ) was the best choice among all the kinetic models to describe the adsorption behavior of Pb(II) onto QTR. Langmuir, Freundlich and Tempkin adsorption models were used to represent the equilibrium data. The best interpretation for the experimental data was given by the Langmuir isotherm and the maximum adsorption capacity (86.207 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) of Pb(II) was obtained at pH 5 and 296 K.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Heavy metal ions such as Pb, Cd, Hg, Cr, Ni, Zn and Cu are non-biodegradable, can be toxic and carcinogenic even at very low concentrations, and, hence, usually pose a serious threat to the environmental and public health [1]. Due to industrial activity and technological development, releases of Pb(II) metal ions to the environment are on the rise. They pose a significant threat to the environment and public health because of toxicity, incremental accumulation in the food chain and persistence in the ecosystem [2,3]. Lead is extremely toxic, which makes it a hazardous environmental pollutant [4]. This pollutant is introduced into natural waters by a variety of industrial wastewaters including those from battery, paper and pulp, mining, electroplating, lead smelting and metallurgical finishing, dyeing, storage-battery and automotive industries. It directly enters the water bodies through the effluent discharges and causes a marked increase in concentrations [5,6]. When accumulated at high levels, lead can generate serious health problems. Lead poisoning causes damage to liver, kidney and reduction in hemoglobin formation, mental retardation, infertility and abnormalities in pregnant women [7,8].

Among the techniques employed for the treatment of heavy metals are chemical precipitation, adsorption, electrolytic methods, ion-exchange, solvent extraction, chemical oxidation or reduction, filtration, membrane systems and reverse osmosis [9,10]. However, these methods require high capital investment as well as creating sludge disposal problem [11]. Most of the recent studies to remove heavy metals from aqueous solutions focus on the production of much more effective low-cost adsorbents through naturally occurring materials [12]. Many researchers suggest a cost effective process, such as biosorption, for removing heavy metals from wastewaters [13]. Biosorption, a biological method of environmental control can be an alternative to conventional waste-treatment facilities [10]. The concept of biosorption refers to the passive sorption and/or complexation of metal ions by biomass [14]. Mohanty et al. argue that a wide range of non-living biomass like bark, lignin, peanut hulls as well as living biomass like fungi, bacteria, yeast, moss, aquatic plants and algae has been used as biosorbents [15]. To remove some heavy metals there are other studies in which various waste biomaterial sources in different parts of the world are used as adsorbent. For instance: tea waste adsorbent (for Cu and Pb) [6], pectin compounds (for Pb) [2], lichen (Cladonia furcata) biomass (for Pb and Ni) [16], diethylenetriamine functionalized polymeric adsorbent (for Cu and Pb) [1], crab and arca shell biomass (for Cu and Pb) [17], olive stone waste (for Cu, Pb, Cd and Ni) [18], tamarind wood activated carbon (for Pb) [7], treated sawdust (Acacia arabica) (for Cr(VI), Cu and Pb) [19], anaerobic granular biomass (for Cu, Pb, Cd and Ni) [13], formaldehyde polymerized banana stem (for Pb) [5], Cassia grandis seed gum-graft-poly(methylmethacrylate) (for Pb) [8]. Several studies have been proposed in the literature about the use of modified tannin resins, in relation with heavy metal biosorption from water (Table 1). In the last decade, researches





<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +90 264 2955643; fax: +90 264 2955601. *E-mail address:* mevci@sakarya.edu.tr (M. Yurtsever).

<sup>0304-3894/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.06.077

#### Table 1

Tannin biosorbents

Tannin biosorbents	Removed metals	Maximum adsorption, pH, T	Reference	
Tannin sorbent (Eucaliptus saligna)	Cr(VI)	47.87 mg g <sup>-1</sup> , pH 2	[20]	
Tannin sorbent ( <i>Lysiloma latisiliqua</i> )	Cr(VI)	197.58 mg g <sup>-1</sup> , pH 2	[20]	
Condensed Mimosa tannin	Cr(VI)	287 mg g <sup>-1</sup> , pH 2	[21]	
Condensed Wattle tannin	Pb(II)	114.9 mg g <sup>-1</sup> , pH 4.2	[22]	
Condensed Wattle tannin	Au(III)	$8000 \mathrm{mg}\mathrm{g}^{-1}$	[23]	
Persimmon peel gel	Au(III)	NA	[24]	
Bayberry tannin immobilized	Pt(IV)	(Lang) 45.8 mg g <sup>-1</sup> , pH 3	[25]	
collagen fiber membrane	Pd(II)	(Lang) 33.4 mg g <sup>-1</sup> , pH 4	[23]	
Bayberry tannin immobilized on collagen fiber	Bi(III)	0.348 mmol g <sup>-1</sup> , 303 K	[26]	
Bayberry tannin immobilized collagen fiber membrane	$UO_2^{2+}$	$56.8 \mathrm{mg}\mathrm{U}\mathrm{g}^{-1}$	[27]	
Black wattle tannin immobilized collagen fiber membrane	$UO_2^{2+}$	53.0 mg U g <sup>-1</sup>	[27]	
Immobilized persimmon tannin	U	pH 6, <i>t</i> > 30 °C	[28]	
Myrica rubra tannin immobilized onto collagen fibres	Th(IV)	$73.67 \mathrm{mg}\mathrm{g}^{-1}$	[29]	
Larch tannin immobilized onto collagen fibres	Th(IV)	$18.19 \mathrm{mg}\mathrm{g}^{-1}$	[23]	
Lysiloma Latisiliqua tannin sorbent	Ce, Cu(II), U(VI), Eu, Fe(III), Th, Nd	E.g. Cu removal: 54.2% mg, pH 7	[30]	
Pinus pinaster bark	Cd(II) and Hg(II)	$pH \ge 6$	[31]	
Eucaliptus saligna Sm sorbent	Hg	1.2 mmol g <sup>-1</sup> , pH 7	[22]	
Lysiloma latisiliqua sorbent (LTS)	Hg	8.5 mmol g <sup>-1</sup> , pH 7	[32]	
	V (from VOCl <sub>2</sub> solution)	0.832 mmol g <sup>-1</sup> , pH 5–6	[22]	
reisinnion tannin gei	V (from NH <sub>4</sub> VO <sub>3</sub> solution)	0.955 mmol g <sup>-1</sup> , pH 3.75	[33]	
Wattle tannin gel (TANNIX <sup>R</sup> )	Am	$1.7 \mathrm{mg}\mathrm{Am}\mathrm{g}^{-1}$	[34]	

NA, not available.

have synthesized adsorbent from commercial tannins using various methods. Then, they used them to remove various metals from wastewaters.

Tannins are high molecular weight polyphenols that can be found in different parts of plants and trees such as seeds, fruits, roots and barks. As tannins contain an abundant amount of adjacent hydroxyl groups in their molecules, they form chelates with metals. They are water soluble and must be immobilized when using them as sorbents [20]. Tannins are divided into two classes of polymers, the hydrolysable tannins and the condensed tannins [35]. Condensed tannins also known as polyflavonoids or proanthocyanidins comprise a group of polyhydroxyflavon-3-ol oligomers and polymers linked by carbon-carbon bonds between flavanol subunits [36]. Quebracho is a condensed tannin that has a polymeric structure containing the flavanoid units. Quebracho is mainly based on combinations of resorcinol, catechol and pyrogallol building blocks (Fig. 1) [37].

The objective of this research was to investigate the sorption capacity of modified quebracho tanin (QT) for the removal of Pb(II) ions from aqueous solution and to optimise the different experimental conditions, such as temperature, pH of solution, initial ion concentration and contact time.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Preparation of modified quebracho tannin resin (QTR)

Raw tannin material was provided from Tuzla Org. Leather Ind. Zone, Turkey. The low-cost modified tannin resin was prepared from commercial Quebracho tannin by chemical activation with formaldehyde for the adsorption of Pb(II) from aqueous solution. 20 g of tannin powder was gelated through polymerization with 40 mL of formaldehyde (37 wt%) as a cross-linking agent at room temperature and then it was stirred in alkaline solution which was prepared by adding 10 mL of 0.25 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> NaOH. After gelation at 353 K for 8 h, the tannin resin obtained was crushed and sieved to produce 38–53  $\mu$ m particles. They were washed successively with distilled water and HNO<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) solution to remove unreacted substances, and finally rinsed with distilled water again and dried at 378 K.

#### 2.2. Physical and chemical characterization of the adsorbent

Characterization of the tannin resin was performed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), infrared spectroscopy (IR) and by determination of the BET surface area and zeta potential.

#### 2.2.1. Scanning electron microscopy

The SEM enables the direct observation of the changes in the surface structures of the resin. Morphological analysis of the QT and QTR was performed by SEM using a Jeol JSM-6060LV. As shown in Figs. 2 and 3, many small pores and particles with diameter  $<5\,\mu$ m are seen on the surface of Raw QT and QTR. Studying the SEM images, it is possible to see that the loose nature of tannin condenses as it forms into a resin. In Fig. 4 the pores of QTR are completely covered with Pb ions.



Fig. 1. Quebracho tannin (monoflavonoids and their oligomers).



Fig. 2. SEM images of raw QT.



Fig. 3. SEM images of QTR (38-53 µm particles).

#### 2.2.2. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) was used to determine the vibration frequency changes in the functional groups in the resin. The spectra of resin were measured by an FTIR spectrometer within the range of  $400-4000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  wave number. The dry beads (about 0.1 g) was thoroughly mixed with KBr and pressed into a pellet and the FTIR spectrum of raw QT and QTR was then recorded (Fig. 5).



**Fig. 4.** SEM images of Pb adsorbed QTR at  $366 \pm 2 \text{ K}$  (38–53  $\mu$ m particles,  $C_0$  = 75 mg L<sup>-1</sup>).

The wide peaks in the range of  $3000-3600 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  show that phenolic –OH groups are intensively present within the nature of raw tannin. In QTR spectrum, this wide band has undergone some changes and broadened extensively. This suggests the existence of intense hydrogen bonds. Between 3000 and  $3200 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  aromatic C–H peaks have increased in QTR spectrum in contrast with the one for raw QT. On the contrary, between 2900 and  $3000 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  aliphatic C–H groups in QTR spectrum have decreased. The peaks, between 1600 and 1650  $\,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  indicate the aromatic C=C bonds. The peak around 1190  $\,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  is due to the presence of ether (C–O–C) groups.

#### 2.2.3. BET surface area $(m^2/g)$

Surface area is related to the adsorption capacity of an adsorbent. The multipoint BET surface area analysis of QTR powder was performed with Quantachrome Autosorb Automated Gas Sorption System (Vers. 1.27). The analysis is carried out through an adsorption of N<sub>2</sub> gas (gas effluent temperature = 75 °C versus bath temperature = 77.35 °C). The measured BET specific surface area for QTR was  $0.820 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ .

#### 2.2.4. Zeta potential

QTR zeta potential was measured with a Zeta Potential and Mobility Measurement System (MALVERN Nano ZS90). Fig. 6 shows that QTR isoelectric point occurs at pH 2.17, indicating that above this pH the surface will be negative charged due to the ionization



Fig. 5. FTIR spectra of the raw QT and QTR.



Fig. 6. Isoelectric titration graph of QTR.

of phenolic (–OH) groups. As the sorption process must be in electrostatic attraction basis, it is expected that Pb adsorption increases with pH 5–7.

#### 2.3. Batch equilibrium studies

A series of batch experiments were conducted to study the Pb adsorption mechanism on the tannin resin, the adsorption isotherms and kinetics. All chemicals used in this work, were of analytical reagent grade and were used without further purification. The lead standard solutions employed in adsorption were produced by diluting a stock solution  $[Pb(NO_3)_2 \text{ in } H_2O]$  of 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of the given element supplied by Merck & Co. Inc. (Darmstadt, Germany). The erlenmeyer flasks (1L) were placed on a magnetic stirrer bath (H+P magnetic stirrer, Germany) and subjected to moderate agitation (350 rpm) for 180 min, which shows that the time period is rather adequate. Pb metal ions were analysed by an Atomic Absorption Spectrometry Instrument (Shimadzu AA6701F) in accordance with the standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater [38]. The adsorbed metal concentrations were obtained from the difference between initial and final metal concentration in water solution.

The amount of Pb ion adsorption onto QTR can be calculated by

$$q_{\rm e} = \frac{C_{\rm i} - C_{\rm e}}{m} V$$

where  $q_e$  is the lead ion adsorbed onto the QTR (mg g<sup>-1</sup>),  $C_i$  the initial metal ion concentration (mg L<sup>-1</sup>),  $C_e$  the final metal ion concentration in the solution (mg L<sup>-1</sup>), V is the volume of the solution (L) and *m* is the amount of QTR adsorbent (g) used.

The pH of solution was measured with a Hanna P211 microprocessor pH-meter using a combined glass electrode. The pH of the solution was adjusted to desired values with 0.1N HNO<sub>3</sub> and 0.1N NaOH. Adsorption studies were carried out at different temperatures (296, 306, 326, 346 and 366 K) in order to obtain thermodynamic parameters. Adsorption isotherms were studied by mixing a known amount of QTR (1 g) with various initial Pb(II) solution concentrations ranging from 10 to 150 mg L<sup>-1</sup> at 296 ± 2 K and pH 5.0. In order to investigate the mechanism of adsorption, intraparticle diffusion, Elovich, the first-order and second-order kinetic models were used to test dynamical experimental data.



**Fig. 7.** Temperature influence on the Pb<sup>2+</sup> uptake by QTR ( $C_0 = 75 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ , particle size = 38–53  $\mu$ m, pH 5 and adsorbent dose: 1 g L<sup>-1</sup>).

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Sorption studies

The influence of agitation rate on the binding of lead by QTR was studied using 130, 250, 350, 450 and 800 rpm (revolutions per minute) stirring speeds. It was found that agitation rate has no influence on the values of lead uptake by QTR. The effect of contact time on Pb sorption on QTR was studied. Although Pb saturation is reached in the first 10 min, the time of contact in all batch experiments was fixed in 180 min.

#### 3.1.1. Effect of temperature on the lead adsorption by QTR

Sorption studies were performed at five different temperatures (296, 306, 326, 346 and 366  $(\pm 2)$  K). Results are shown in Fig. 7. The adsorption capacity of Pb increased with increasing *T* indicating that the endothermic nature of the sorption process.

#### 3.1.2. Effect of initial pH on the lead adsorption by QTR

Fig. 8 shows the effect of pH on the Pb adsorption by QTR. Adsorption of Pb in QTR increased as pH increased due to the fact that dissociation of active groups on the QTR surface also increased with pH. The maximum lead uptake by QTR was at pH 5. Hence, initial pH was fixed at pH 5 in all sorption experiments.



**Fig. 8.** pH influence on the Pb<sup>2+</sup> uptake by QTR ( $C_0 = 10 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ , particle size =  $38-53 \mu$ m,  $T = 296 \pm 2 \text{ K}$  and adsorbent dose:  $1 \text{ g L}^{-1}$ ).



**Fig. 9.** Initial Pb<sup>+2</sup> concentration influence on the Pb<sup>2+</sup> uptake by QTR (pH 5.0, particle size = 38–53  $\mu$ m, T = 296  $\pm$  2 K and adsorbent dose: 1 g L<sup>-1</sup>).

#### 3.1.3. Effect of initial $Pb^{2+}$ concentration

The effect of lead concentration in the solution for six different concentrations of Pb (10, 25, 50, 75, 100 and 150 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) on the adsorption is shown in Fig. 9. It can be seen from Fig. 9 that the percentage of Pb adsorption decreases when Pb initial concentration increases. Fig. 9 shows that the adsorption of Pb(II) increases with time from 0 to 10 min and then becomes almost constant up to the end of experiment. It can be concluded that the rate of lead binding to QTR is higher at initial stages, then gradually decreases and becomes almost constant after 10 min.

# 3.2. Application of adsorption isotherm models for lead adsorption by quebracho tannin resin

Adsorption isotherms are basic requirements for designing any sorption system. The distribution of metal ions between the liquid phase and the adsorbent is a measure of the position of equilibrium in the adsorption process and can generally be expressed by one or more of a series of isotherm models. Out of these isotherm equations, three have been applied for this study, the Langmuir, Freundlich and Tempkin isotherms, which are, respectively, reported in the following.

#### 3.2.1. The Langmuir isotherm model

The Langmuir model [39] is described by the equation:

$$q_{\rm e} = \frac{K_{\rm L}C_{\rm e}}{1 + a_{\rm L}C_{\rm e}} \tag{1}$$

which may be written in linearized form as follows:

$$\frac{C_{\rm e}}{q_{\rm e}} = \frac{1}{K_{\rm L}} + \frac{a_{\rm L}}{K_{\rm L}}C_{\rm e} \tag{2}$$

where  $q_e$  is the equilibrium amount adsorbed (mg g<sup>-1</sup>),  $C_e$  the equilibrium concentration of the adsorbate (mg L<sup>-1</sup>). The empirical constants  $K_L$  and  $a_L$  for Langmuir model are related to the maximum capacity (Lg<sup>-1</sup>) and bonding strength (Lmg<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. The theoretical monolayer capacity is  $Q_o$  and is numerically equal to  $K_L/a_L$ .

#### 3.2.2. The Freundlich isotherm model

The Freundlich [40] model can be described by the following equation:

$$q_{\rm e} = K_{\rm F} C_{\rm e}^{1/n} \tag{3}$$

#### Table 2

Langmuir, Freundlich and Tempkin isotherm constants for the adsorption of lead(II) onto QTR (at 296  $\pm$  2 K, pH 5)

	Pb <sup>2+</sup>
Langmuir isotherm	
$a_{\rm L}$ (L/mg)	0.372
$K_{\rm L}$ (L/g)	32.051
$Q_0 (\mathrm{mg}\mathrm{g}^{-1})$	86.207
$R^2$	0.999
Freundlich isotherm	
$K_{\rm F}$ (L/g)	34.308
n	3.213
$R^2$	0.828
Tempkin isotherm	
В	14.743
A (L/g)	6.719
R <sup>2</sup>	0.939

where  $q_e$  is the amount of metal ion adsorbed per unit weight and  $C_e$  is the equilibrium concentration of Pb<sup>2+</sup>.  $K_F$  (mgg<sup>-1</sup>) and n (gL<sup>-1</sup>) are Freundlich constants related to adsorption capacity and intensity of adsorption, respectively. Eq. (3) can be linearized to the form:

$$\log q_{\rm e} = \log K_{\rm F} + \frac{1}{n} \, \log C_{\rm e} \tag{4}$$

The slope of line expressed by Eq. (4) gives the value 1/n and intercept of the line gives the value  $\log K_{\rm F}$ .

#### 3.2.3. The Tempkin isotherm model

Tempkin and Pyzhev [41] considered the effects of some indirect adsorbate/adsorbate interactions on adsorption isotherms and suggested that because of these interactions the heat of adsorption of all the molecules in the layer would decrease linearly with coverage. The Tempkin isotherm has been used in the following form:

$$q_{\rm e} = \frac{RT}{b} (\ln AC_{\rm e}) \tag{5}$$

Eq. (5) can be expressed in its linear form as

$$q_{\rm e} = B \ln A + B \ln C_{\rm e} \tag{6}$$

where

$$B = \frac{RT}{b}$$

The adsorption data can be analyzed according to Eq. (6). A plot of  $q_e$  versus ln  $C_e$  enables the determination of the constants A and B. The constant B is related to the heat of adsorption.

The outcome values of parameters  $a_L$ ,  $K_L$ ,  $Q_o$ ,  $K_F$ , n, B, A and  $R^2$  for all the experiments for removal of Pb(II) are presented in Table 2. Results from Table 2 show that the maximum monolayer adsorption capacity ( $Q_o$ ) is 86.207 mg g<sup>-1</sup>. The Langmuir equation is valid for monolayer sorption onto a completely homogeneous surface with a finite number of identical sites. The results suggested that the Langmuir model was the best choice ( $R^2$  = 0.9991) among the other isotherm models to describe the adsorption behavior of Pb(II) onto QTR.

#### 3.3. Kinetics study of lead adsorption by quebracho tannin resin

Intra-particle diffusion model, Lagergren pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order and Elovich models were used to test the experimental data and thus explain the adsorption kinetic process. The intraparticle diffusion model [42] can be described as

$$q_t = k_{\rm int} t^{1/2}$$

(7)

Table 3	
Kinetic parameters for the sorption of Pb <sup>2+</sup> on QTR	

- - - -

$Pb^{2+} C_0 (mg L^{-1})$	$q_{\rm e} ({\rm mgg^{-1}})$	Intraparticle diffusi model	on	Pseudo-first-order kinetic model		Pseudo-second-order kinetic model		Elovich equation		
		$k_{\rm int}$ (mg/g dak <sup>1/2</sup> )	$R^2$	$k_1 (1 \min^{-1})$	$R^2$	$k_2$ (g/mg dak)	$R^2$	$\alpha (\mathrm{mg/g}\mathrm{dak})$	$\beta(gmin^{-1})$	$R^2$
10	9.634	0.0553	0.714	0.0273	0.879	0.3249	1.0000	6.129E+23	6.3613	0.896
25	23.789	0.0554	0.898	0.0093	0.792	0.1729	1.0000	7.046E+67	6.9013	0.954
50	47.718	0.6466	0.668	0.0165	0.786	0.0225	0.9999	1.733E+09	0.5350	0.867
75	67.712	1.7029	0.786	0.0281	0.939	0.0083	0.9999	6.213E+04	0.2097	0.958
100	74.956	1.5965	0.768	0.0200	0.860	0.0068	0.9994	6.016E+05	0.2248	0.926
150	83.517	1.3925	0.666	0.0224	0.828	0.0130	1.0000	3.147E+07	0.2455	0.884

where  $k_{int}$  is the intraparticle diffusion rate constant and  $q_t$  is the amount dye adsorbed (mgg<sup>-1</sup>) at time (t). Values of  $k_{int}$  (mgg<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>1/2</sup>) were calculated from the slope of the linear plots of  $q_t$  versus  $t^{1/2}$ . In a liquid–solid system, the fractional uptake of the solute on particle varies according to a function of diffusivity within the particle and the particle radius.

Lagergren first-order equation [43] is the most popular kinetics equation and only for the rapid initial phase. The pseudo-first-order equation is given by

$$\ln (q_{\rm e} - q_t) = \ln q_{\rm e} - k_1 t \tag{8}$$

where  $q_t$  is the amount of adsorption time t (min) (mgg<sup>-1</sup>);  $k_1$  the rate constant of the equation (l/min);  $q_e$  is the amount of adsorption equilibrium (mgg<sup>-1</sup>). The adsorption rate constant,  $k_1$ , can be determined experimentally by plotting of  $\ln(q_e - q_t)$  against t. This model was successfully applied to describe the kinetics of many adsorption systems.

The second-order model is more likely to predict the kinetic behavior of adsorption with chemical sorption being the ratecontrolling step. This equation is in the following form:

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{1}{q_e} t \tag{9}$$

where  $k_2$  is the rate constant of the second-order equation  $(g/(mg min)); q_t$  the amount of adsorption time  $t(min)(mgg^{-1}); q_e$  is the amount of adsorption equilibrium  $(mgg^{-1})$ . The equilibrium adsorption capacity  $q_e$  and the pseudo-second-order rate constant  $k_2$  can be experimentally determined. The slope and intercept of the linear plot  $t/q_t$  versus t yielded the values of  $q_e$  and  $k_2$ .

The equation defining the Elovich model [44] is based on a kinetic principle assuming that the adsorption sites increase exponentially with adsorption, which implies a multilayer adsorption. The linear form of Elovich equation is given by

$$q_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln(\alpha\beta) + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln t \tag{10}$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , known as the Elovich coefficients, represent the initial sorption rate (mg g<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>) and the  $\beta$  is related to the extent of surface coverage and activation energy for chemisorption (g mg<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. The Elovich coefficients could be computed from the plots of  $q_t$  versus ln t.

The fitting of the above four models to experimental data was examined by each linear plot of  $q_t$  versus  $t^{1/2}$ ,  $\ln(q_e - q_t)$  versus t,  $(t/q_t)$  versus t, and  $q_t$  versus  $\ln t$ , respectively.

 $R^2$  and several parameters obtained from kinetic models are shown in Table 3. The experimental data fitted well to the pseudo-second-order kinetic model with a high  $R^2$  value (>0.999).

#### 3.4. Thermodynamic studies

The standard free energy  $(\Delta G^{\circ})$ , enthalpy change  $(\Delta H^{\circ})$  and entropy change  $(\Delta S^{\circ})$  thermodynamic parameters have been estimated to evaluate the feasibility of the adsorption process. The Table 4

Thermodynamic parameters for Pb<sup>2+</sup> ions adsorption on QTR ( $C_0$  = 75 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, pH 5, 38–53 µm particles and 350 rpm agitation rate)

	Temperature, T (K)								
	296	306	326	346	366				
$ \frac{K_c}{\Delta G^{\circ} (kJ \text{ mol}^{-1})} \\ \Delta H^{\circ} (kJ \text{ mol}^{-1}) \\ \Delta S^{\circ} (I/\text{mol} K) $	9.29 -5.43 31.84 127.02	13.88 -6.63	30.40 -9.17	57.23 11.54	118.90 14.42				

positive value of change in enthalpy ( $\Delta H^{\circ}$ ) indicates that the adsorption is an endothermic process, while positive value of change in entropy ( $\Delta S^{\circ}$ ) reflects the increased randomness at the solid/solution interface.

The Gibbs free energy change of the process is related to the  $K_c$  by the following equation:

$$\Delta G^\circ = -RT\ln Kc$$

$$K_{\rm c} = \frac{C_{\rm a}}{C_{\rm e}} \tag{11}$$

where  $K_c$  is the distribution coefficient for the adsorption,  $C_a$  the amount of adsorbate (mg) adsorbed on the adsorbent per liter of the solution at equilibrium and  $C_e$  is the equilibrium concentration (mg L<sup>-1</sup>) of solution.

The thermodynamic parameters such as changes in standard free energy ( $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ), enthalpy change ( $\Delta H^{\circ}$ ) and entropy change ( $\Delta S^{\circ}$ ) were determined by using the following equations:

$$\ln K_{\rm c} = \frac{\Delta S^0 - \Delta H^0}{R} \frac{1}{T} \tag{12}$$

In order to determine thermodynamic parameters, batch experiments were carried out at different temperatures in the range of 296–366 K for Pb adsorption (Fig. 7).

The values of  $\Delta H^{\circ}$  and  $\Delta S^{\circ}$  are calculated from the slope and intercept of the plots of ln  $K_c$  versus 1/*T*. Results are shown in Table 4. The Gibbs free energy change ( $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ) negative values increased with temperature, indicating the feasibility and spontaneity of the adsorption process of Pb<sup>2+</sup> ions on QTR. The positive  $\Delta H^{\circ}$  indicated the endothermic nature of the adsorption process and the positive  $\Delta S^{\circ}$  an increase in the randomness in the system interface solid/solution during the adsorption process.

#### 4. Conclusions

In this work, chemically modified tannin resin as a sorbent has been proposed to be an efficient and economical alternative in Pb ion removal from water. The findings herein made us conclude that:

- Batch sorption studies of lead ions showed that the QTR can be successfully used to remove Pb<sup>2+</sup> ions from aqueous solution.
- (2) Langmuir model best describes the lead adsorption process and experimental data are better fitted to Langmuir isotherm

 $(R^2 > 0.999)$ . Using the Langmuir model equation, the monolayer adsorption capacity of QTR was found to be 86.207mg g<sup>-1</sup> for Pb(II) ions.

- (3) By applying the kinetic models to the experimental data, it was found that the kinetics Pb(II) ions adsorption onto QTR followed by the pseudo-second-order rate equation. Results of kinetic studies demonstrated that the Pb adsorption was rapid and efficient.
- (4) The thermodynamic parameters  $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ,  $\Delta H^{\circ}$  and  $\Delta S^{\circ}$  determined, indicated a spontaneous endothermic and an increasing randomness sorption process.
- (5) The specific BET surface area of QTR was found to be  $0.820 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ . In comparing the surface area of QTR and activated carbon, it is seen that the QTR is smaller in size. The surface area of activated carbon ranges between 200 and  $1500 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ . Though the surface area of QTR is not large its adsorption capability to metal ions is high. This shows that functional groups in resin are more efficient than surface area in sorption process.

Given the obtained results, it is apparent that QTR can serve as an appropriate adsorbent in removal process of Pb ions from aqueous solution in terms of its high sorption capacity, naturality and abundance.

#### Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey. The authors thank TUBITAK for its financial support.

#### References

- C. Liu, R. Bai, Q. San Ly, Selective removal of copper and lead ions by diethylenetriamine-functionalized adsorbent: behaviors and mechanisms, Water Res. 42 (2008) 1511–1522.
- [2] M. Khotimchenko, V. Kovalev, Y. Khotimchenko, Equilibrium studies of sorption of lead(II) ions by different pectin compounds, J. Hazard. Mater. 149 (2007) 693–699.
- [3] J.L. Gardea-Torresdey, A. Hernandez, K.J. Tiemann, J. Bibb, O. Rodriguez, Adsorption of toxic metal ions from solution by inactivated cells Of Larrea Tridentata (Creosote Bush), J. Hazard. Subst. Res. 1 (1998).
- [4] M. Mouflih, A. Aklil, N. Jahroud, M. Gourai, S. Sebti, Removal of lead from aqueous solutions by natural phosphate, Hydrometallurgy 81 (2006) 219–225.
- [5] B.F. Noeline, D.M. Manohar, T.S. Anirudhan, Kinetic and equilibrium modelling of lead(II) sorption from water and wastewater by polymerized banana stem in a batch reactor, Sep. Purif. Technol. 45 (2005) 131–140.
- [6] B.M.W.P.K. Amarasinghe, R.A. Williams, Tea waste as a low cost adsorbent for the removal of Cu and Pb from wastewater, Chem. Eng. J. 132 (2007) 299–309.
- [7] C.K. Singh, J.N. Sahu, K.K. Mahalik, C.R. Mohanty, B. Raj Mohan, B.C. Meikap, Studies on the removal of Pb(II) from wastewater by activated carbon developed from tamarind wood activated with sulphuric acid, J. Hazard. Mater. 153 (2008) 221–228.
- [8] V. Singh, S. Tiwari, A. Kumar Sharma, R. Sanghi, Removal of lead from aqueous solutions using *Cassia grandis* seed gum-graft-poly(methylmethacrylate), J. Colloid Interface Sci. 316 (2007) 224–232.
- [9] Y.H. Huang, C.L. Hsueh, C.P. Huang, L.C. Su, C.Y. Chen, Adsorption thermodynamic and kinetic studies of Pb(II) removal from water onto a versatile Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-supported iron oxide, Sep. Purif. Technol. 55 (2007) 23–29.
- [10] O.S. Amuda, A.A. Giwa, I.A. Bello, Removal of heavy metal from industrial wastewater using modified activated coconut shell carbon, Biochem. Eng. J. 36 (2007) 174–181.
- [11] H.A. Aziz, M.N. Adlan, K.S. Ariffin, Heavy metals (Cd, Pb, Zn, Ni, Cu and Cr(III)) removal from water in Malaysia: post treatment by high quality limestone, Bioresour. Technol. 99 (6) (2008) 1578–1583.
- [12] A.H. Oren, A. Kaya, Factors affecting adsorption characteristics of Zn<sup>2+</sup> on two natural zeolites, J. Hazard. Mater. B 131 (2006) 59–65.
- [13] A.H. Hawari, C.N. Mulligan, Biosorption of lead(II), cadmium(II), copper(II) and nickel(II) by anaerobic granular biomass, Bioresour. Technol. 97 (2006) 692–700.
- [14] C. Mack, B. Wilhelmi, J.R. Duncan, J.E. Burgess, Biosorption of precious metals, Biotechnol. Adv. 25 (2007) 264–271.

- [15] K. Mohanty, M. Jha, B.C. Meikap, M.N. Biswas, Biosorption of Cr(VI) from aqueous solutions by Eichhornia crassipes, Chem. Eng. J. 117 (2006) 71–77.
- [16] A. Sarı, M. Tüzen, Ö.D. Uluözlü, M. Soylak, Biosorption of Pb(II) and Ni(II) from aqueous solution by Lichen (*Cladonia furcata*) Biomass, Biochem. Eng. J. 37 (2007) 151–158.
- [17] S. Dahiya, R.M. Tripathi, A.G. Hegde, Biosorption of lead and copper from aqueous solutions by pre-treated crab and arca shell biomass, Bioresour. Technol. 99 (2008) 179–187.
- [18] N. Fiol, I. Villaescusa, M. Martinez, N. Miralles, J. Poch, J. Serarols, Sorption of Pb(II), Ni(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II) from aqueous solution by olive stone waste, Sep. Purif. Technol. 50 (2006) 132–140.
- [19] A. Meena, K. Kadirvelu, G.K. Mishra, Chitra. Rajagopal, P.N. Nagar, Adsorptive removal of heavy metals from aqueous solution by treated sawdust (*Acacia arabica*), J. Hazard. Mater 150 (2008) 604–611.
- [20] L. Lima, S. Olivares, F. Martinez, J. Torres, D. De La Rosa, C. Sepulveda, Use of immobilized tannin adsorbent for removal of Cr(VI) from water, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 231 (1998) 35–40.
- [21] Y. Nakano, K. Takeshita, T. Tsutsumi, Adsorption mechanism of hexavalent chromium by redox within condensed-tannin gel, Water Res. 35 (2) (2001) 496–500.
- [22] X.M. Zhan, X. Zhao, Mechanism of lead adsorption from aqueous solutions using an adsorbent synthesized from natural condensed tannin, Water Res. 37 (2003) 3905–3912.
- [23] T. Ogata, Y. Nakano, Mechanisms of gold recovery from aqueous solutions using a novel tannin gel adsorbent synthesized from natural condensed tannin, Water Res. 39 (2005) 4281–4286.
- [24] D. Parajuli, H. Kawakita, K. Inoue, K. Ohto, K. Kajiyama, Persimmon peel gel for the selective recovery of gold, Hydrometallurgy 87 (2007) 133–139.
- [25] H.W. Ma, X. Liao, X. Liu, B. Shi, Recovery of platinum(IV) and palladium(II) by bayberry tannin immobilized collagen fiber membrane from water solution, J. Membr. Sci. 278 (2006) 373–380.
- [26] R. Wang, X. Liao, S. Zhao, B. Shi, Adsorption of bismuth(III) by bayberry tannin immobilized on collagen fiber, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 81 (2006) 1301–1306.
- [27] X. Liao, H. Ma, R. Wang, B. Shi, Adsorption of UO<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> on tannins immobilized collagen fiber membrane, J. Membr. Sci. 243 (2004) 235–241.
- [28] A. Nakajima, T. Sakaguchi, Recovery of uranium from uranium refining waste water by using immobilized persimmon tannin, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 242 (3) (1999) 623–626.
- [29] X. Liao, L. Li, B. Shi, Adsorption recovery of thorium(IV) by Myrica rubra tannin and larch tannin immobilized onto collagen fibres, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 260 (3) (2004) 619–625.
- [30] J.L. Santana, L. Lima, J. Torres, F. Martinez, S. Olivares, Simultaneous metal adsorption on tannin resins, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 251 (3) (2002) 467– 471.
- [31] G. Vazquez, J. Gonzalez-Alvarez, S. Freire, M. Lopez-Lorenzo, G. Antorrena, Removal of cadmium and mercury ions from aqueous solution by sorption on treated Pinus pinaster bark: kinetics and isotherms, Bioresour. Technol. 82 (2002) 247–251.
- [32] J. Torres, S. Olivares, D. De La Rosa, L. Lima, F. Martinez, C.S. Munita, D.I.T. Favaro, Removal of mercury(II) and methylmercury from solution by tannin adsorbents, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 240 (1) (1999) 361–365.
- [33] A. Nakajima, Electron spin resonance study on the vanadium adsorption by persimmon tannin gel, Talanta 57 (2002) 537–544.
- [34] T. Matsumura, S. Usuda, Applicability of insoluble tannin to treatment of waste containing americium, J. Alloys Compd. (1998) 244–247.
- [35] A.A. Rahim, E. Rocca, J. Steinmetz, M.J. Kassim, R. Adnan, M. Sani Ibrahim, Mangrove tannins and their flavanoid monomers as alternative steel corrosion inhibitors in acidic medium, Corros. Sci. 49 (2007) 402–417.
- [36] P. Schofield, D.M. Mbugua, A.N. Pell, Analysis of condensed tannins, Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 91 (21–40) (2001) 7531–7539.
- [37] H. Pasch, A. Pizzi, K. Rode, MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry of polyflavonoid tannins, Polymer 42 (2001) 7531–7539.
- [38] Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20th edn, American Public Health Association/American Water Works Association/Water Environment Federation, Washington, DC, USA, 1998.
- [39] I. Langmuir, The adsorption of gases on plane surface of glass, mica and platinum, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 40 (1918) 1361-1368.
- [40] H.M.F. Freundlich, Over the adsorption in solution, J. Phys. Chem. 57A (1906) 385-470.
- [41] M.J. Tempkin, V. Pyzhev, Kinetics of ammonia synthesis on promoted iron catalysts, Acta Physicochim. URSS 12 (1940) 217–222.
- [42] W.J. Weber, J.C. Morris, Advances in water pollution research: removal of biologically resistant pollutants from waste waters by adsorption, in: Proceedings of the International Conference on Water Pollution Symposium, vol. 2, Pergamon, Oxford, 1962, pp. 231–266.
- [43] S. Lagergren, About the theory of so-called adsorption of soluble substances, K., Sven. Ventenskapsakad. Handl. 24 (1898) 1–39.
- [44] S.Y. Elovich, O.G. Larinov, Theory of adsorption from solutions of non electrolytes on solid (I) equation adsorption from solutions and the analysis of its simplest form, (II) verification of the equation of adsorption isotherm from solutions, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Otd. Khim. Nauk 2 (1962) 209–216.